

No. ID/FD/78/82/28415.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Altap and the management of M/s Raj Metal 43-G, D.L.F. Area, Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—*vide* Government Notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with Notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Altap was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/SPT/61/82/28422.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Purshotam Singh and the management of M/s Aresto Fan Pvt. Ltd., Inside Gupta Bekelite, Factocy, Ballabgarh, Distt., Sonipat regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947,—*vide* Government notification No. 3864-ASO (E) Lab-70/13648 dated 8th May, 1970 read with notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573 dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Purshotam Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/77/82/28429.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Krishan Chand and the management of M/s Indo Casting and Engineering Work, Talab Road, Old, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab-57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Krishan Chand was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/67/82/28436.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Kailash Chand Bhardwaj and the management of M/s Hydraulic Power Engineering works Near Market Committee 100 Foot Road, Chewala Colony Ballabgarh, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana, hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act the matters specified below being either in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Kailash Chand Bhardwaj was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/64/82/28443.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Jai Parkash, and the management of M/s Laldees Pvt. Ltd. 20/2 Mathura road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Dispute Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act the matters specified below, being either in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Jai Parkash was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

V. S. CHAUDHRI,
Deputy Secretary to Government, Haryana,
Labour Department.